Introduction to Gaussian Processes

Pattern Recognition & Machine Learning Course, EPFL

Carlos Becker, Emtiyaz Khan

December 2015

Goals of this lecture

- Understand what a Gaussian Process (GP) is.
- Learn how GPs can be used for regression.

More specific to GPs, you will learn:

- What a covariance matrix means from a GP point of view.
- How a GP defines a prior over functions, and its relationship to its covariance matrix and correlation terms.
- What "conditioning on the measurements" means, in a probabilistic sense as well as mathematically.

Note: GPs for classification are outside the scope of this lecture. But if you understand regression GPs it won't be too difficult to learn how classification GPs work. Please see Rasmusen and William's "Gaussian Processes for Machine Learning" book.

Motivation: why Gaussian Processes?





Say we want to estimate a scalar function f(x)

from training data $\mathcal{D} = \{x_i, f_i\}_{i=1}^N$, with $y_i = f(x_i) + \epsilon$



7

Say we want to estimate a scalar function f(x)

from training data $\mathcal{D} = \{x_i, f_i\}_{i=1}^N$, with $y_i = f(x_i) + \epsilon$





Gaussian Processes let us place a **prior** on the 'shape' of f(x)

And this **prior** is formulated probabilistically

Let's get started!

Just before...

 $X \sim N(\mu^{2})$



11

Let's get back to finding f(x), but now

- From a single observation $\{x_1, f_1\}$

- We want to predict
$$f_* = f(x_*)$$



Now, in a probabilistic manner...

12

The estimated f_* is now a **Random Variable**, with a corresponding **PDF**.







- So far we expressed f_* as a function of the training data
- But Gaussian Processes work in a slightly different way...

16

We take f_1 and f_* to be RVs, with a joint Gaussian pdf

$$p(f_1, f_* \mid x_1, x_*)$$

17



18

Incorporating the measurement:

19

Incorporating the measurement:

Our model is $p(f_1, f_* \mid x_1, x_*)$

20

Incorporating the measurement:

Our model is $p(f_1, f_* | x_1, x_*)$

21

Incorporating the measurement:

Our model is
$$p(f_1, f_* | x_1, x_*)$$

And we want to estimate

22

Incorporating the measurement:

But we know
$$f(x_1) = f_1!$$

Our model is $p(f_1, f_* | x_1, x_*)$
And we want to estimate
ant is $p(f_* | f_1, x_1, x_*)$

What we want is

Incorporating the measurement:

23



Summarizing our 2-point Gaussian Process:

• Our model or **prior** is

$$p(f_1, f_* \mid x_1, x_*) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, K(x_1, x_*))$$

$$K = [K_{mn}] = [k(x_m, x_n)]$$

· If we have a measurement $f(x_1) = f_1$, we can condition on it to estimate f_* :

$$p(f_* \mid f_1, x_1, x_*) = \mathcal{N}(\mu_*, \sigma_*^2)$$

We get a probability distribution as the output.

24

Exercise

25

 $k(x_n, x_m) = e^{-(x_n - x_m)^2/2}$ Let's use the RBF kernel prior $p(f_1, f_* | x_1, x_*) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, K) = \begin{bmatrix} k(x_1, x_1) & | \langle (x_1, x_*) \\ k(x_1, x_*) & | \langle (x_*, x_*) \\ k(x_1, x_*$ \cdot For our model prior $K = \left[K_{mn} \right] = \left[k(x_m, x_n) \right]$ $K = \frac{10.6}{10.1}$ How many rows and columns K has? $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ 2) Compute K for $x_1 = 1, x_* = 2$. 3) Are f_1 and f_* strongly correlated? $\begin{array}{c|c} \mathsf{K} = & 1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & 4 \end{array} \text{ What if } x_* = 10? \end{array}$ (*Hint*: $e^{-1/2} \approx 0.6$)

Time to get to the Real GP

27

A gaussian process defines a **prior over functions** \mathbf{f} ,

$$p(\mathbf{f}|X) = \mathcal{N}\left(\mathbf{f}|\mathbf{0}, K(X)\right)$$

$$\mathbf{F} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{f} \\ \mathbf{f} \\ \mathbf{f} \\ \mathbf{f} \\ \mathbf{f} \end{bmatrix}$$

Defining the kernel function $k(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m)$ defines the prior

- 1. We can sample functions from this prior
- 2. We can use the prior + measurements to generate predictions

28

1) A prior over functions

Given $k(x_n, x_m)$, we can sample functions from $p(\mathbf{f}|X) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{f}|\mathbf{0}, K(X))$ **Example 1**: RBF kernel $k(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m) = e^{-||\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_m||^2/L^2}$



1) A prior over functions

Given $k(x_n, x_m)$, we can sample functions from $p(\mathbf{f}|X) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{f}|\mathbf{0}, K(X))$ **Example 1**: RBF kernel $k(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m) = e^{-||\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_m||^2/L^2}$



1) A prior over functions

Given $k(x_n, x_m)$, we can sample *functions* from $p(\mathbf{f}|X) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{f}|\mathbf{0}, K(X))$ **Example 1**: RBF kernel $k(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m) = e^{-||\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_m||^2/L^2}$



31

1) A prior over functions

$$k(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m) = e^{-||\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_m||^2/L^2}$$

Example 1: RBF kernel, What will happen if $L \rightarrow 0$?

1) A prior over functions

32

$$k(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m) = e^{-||\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_m||^2/L^2}$$

Example 1: RBF kernel, What will happen if $L \rightarrow 0$?

What will happen to the correlation between different points?



1) A prior over functions

Given $k(x_n, x_m)$, we can sample *functions* from $p(\mathbf{f}|X) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{f}|\mathbf{0}, K(X))$ **Example 2**: Quadratic kernel $k(\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_m) = (1 + \mathbf{x}_n^T \mathbf{x}_m)^2$



34

2) Incorporating noise-free measurements

Notation:

- **f** , X : training data
- \mathbf{f}_*, X_* : prediction

 $\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{f} \\ \mathbf{f}_* \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N} \Big(\mathbf{0}, \begin{bmatrix} K(X, X) & K(X, X_*) \\ K(X_*, X) & K(X_*, X_*) \end{bmatrix} \Big)$

P(F|X)

35

2) Incorporating noise-free measurements

Notation:

- **f** , X : training data
- \mathbf{f}_*, X_* : prediction

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{f} \\ \mathbf{f}_* \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}\Big(\mathbf{0}, \begin{bmatrix} K(X,X) & K(X,X_*) \\ K(X_*,X) & K(X_*,X_*) \end{bmatrix} \Big)$$

Conditioning on ${\bf f}$ (training data) we get

$$\mathbf{f}_* \mid \mathbf{f}, X_*, X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \Sigma)$$

with
$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= K(X_*, X) K(X, X)^{-1} \mathbf{f} \\ \Sigma &= K(X_*, X_*) - K(X_*, X) K(X, X)^{-1} K(X, X_*) \end{aligned}$$

36

3) Incorporating *noisy* measurements (as in real life)

Assume measurements y are noisy such that $y = f(\mathbf{x}) + \epsilon$ and ϵ is i.i.d. with $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_n^2)$

Therefore, $\operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{y}) = K(X,X) + \sigma_n^2 I$, and we can write

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{f}_* \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N} \Big(\mathbf{0}, \begin{bmatrix} K(X, X) + \sigma_n^2 I & K(X, X_*) \\ K(X_*, X) & K(X_*, X_*) \end{bmatrix} \Big)$$

37

3) Incorporating *noisy* measurements (as in real life)

Assume measurements y are noisy such that $y = f(\mathbf{x}) + \epsilon$ and ϵ is i.i.d. with $\epsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_n^2)$

Therefore, $\operatorname{cov}(\mathbf{y}) = K(X, X) + \sigma_n^2 I$, and we can write

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y} \\ \mathbf{f}_* \end{bmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}\Big(\mathbf{0}, \begin{bmatrix} K(X, X) + \sigma_n^2 I & K(X, X_*) \\ K(X_*, X) & K(X_*, X_*) \end{bmatrix}\Big)$$

Conditioning on \mathbf{y} (training data) we get

$$\mathbf{f}_{*} \mid \mathbf{y}, X_{*}, X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu', \Sigma')$$

with
$$\begin{aligned} \mu' &= K(X_{*}, X) \left[K(X, X) + \sigma_{n}^{2} I \right]^{-1} \mathbf{y} \\ \Sigma' &= K(X_{*}, X_{*}) - K(X_{*}, X) \left[K(X, X) + \sigma_{n}^{2} I \right] K(X, X_{*}) \end{aligned}$$

38

Demo Time

39

A Real Example [Rasmussen, Williams, Gaussian Processes for Machine Learning]



40

A Real Example [Rasmussen, Williams, Gaussian Processes for Machine Learning]



41

A Real Example [Rasmussen, Williams, Gaussian Processes for Machine Learning]

<u>Kernel Design</u>: $k(x, x') = k_1(x, x') + k_2(x, x') + k_3(x, x') + k_4(x, x')$

Long-term smoothness

$$k_{1}(x, x') = \theta_{1}^{2} \exp\left(-\frac{(x - x')^{2}}{\theta_{2}^{2}}\right) \quad \text{RSF}$$
Seasonal trend (periodicity)

$$k_{2}(x, x') = \theta_{3}^{2} \exp\left[-\frac{-2\sin^{2}(\pi(x - x'))}{\theta_{5}^{2}}\right] \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(x - x')^{2}}{\theta_{4}^{2}}\right)$$

Short- and medium-term anomaly

$$k_3(x, x') = \theta_6^2 \left(1 + \frac{(x - x')^2}{2\theta_8 \theta_7^2} \right)^{-\theta_8}$$

Noise

$$k_4(x, x') = \theta_9^2 \exp\left(-\frac{(x - x')^2}{2\theta_{10}^2}\right) + \theta_{11}^2 \delta_{x, x'}$$

11 parameters

What about Classification?

So far $f(\mathbf{x})$ is a real function, not optimal for classification what would you suggest doing? $F(x) \sim N(\mu(x), K(x))$ $P(Y=\lambda(F) = T(F)$ $F \sim N(-)$ $F(F) \rightarrow N(-)$ $T(F) \rightarrow NoT$ what would you suggest doing?

Regression

Classification

GPML mottab toolhan

Summary

• GPs place a prior over functions through $p(\mathbf{f}|X) = \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{f}|\mathbf{0}, K(X))$ $\rightarrow K(X)$ defines 'shape' and prior knowledge about our problem

- Prediction = Prior | Measurements (Tightly linked to Bayesian Estimation)
- GPs can be applied to classification (and many other applications, eg. Dimensionality Reduction, Latent Variable Models...)